

**The Interaction between Synthetic Jet and
Adverse Pressure Gradient Boundary Layer Flows**

**By
Chester Lee**

Faculty of Engineering
University of Technology, Sydney
Sydney Australia

PhD
2007

CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORSHIP/ORIGINALITY

I certify that the work in this thesis has not previously been submitted for a degree nor has it been submitted as part of requirements for a degree except as fully acknowledged within the text.

I also certify that the thesis has been written by me. Any help that I have received in my research work and the preparation of the thesis itself has been acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

PhD of Candidate: *Cherendee*, 2007

Acknowledgments

I wish to acknowledge the following people for their assistance and support during the research project presented in this thesis:

1. My principal supervisor, Associate Professor Guang Hong, for providing an interesting and challenging project for my degree, and for her insight and guidance through out my studies;
2. My co-supervisor, Dr Quang Ha for his guidance, encouragement and valuable suggestions;
3. My co-supervisor, Professor Hung Nguyen for his encouragement, important guidance, and special support, without which I could not have completed this thesis;
4. My external supervisor, Dr Sam Mallinson, for his patient guidance, assistance, encouragement and many valuable suggestions and being a friendly individual who is always available for open discussions;
5. Dr. Prasanthi Hasare and Rosie Hamilton for their important guidance and special support.

6. The technical staff of the Faculty of Engineering, from the Mechanical Engineering and Aerodynamics Laboratories, who provided assistance with the wind tunnel tests, in particular, Mr. Alex Revel, Mr. Matthew Low, Mr. Jeremy Whitney; Mr Whitney also provided assistance with some of the analysis;

Finally, I would like to thank all my colleagues and friends for their understanding, encouragement and assistance during this time.

Chester Lee

Table of Contents

Certificate	ii
Acknowledgements	iii
Table of Contents	v
List of Illustrations	viii
List of Tables	xiii
Nomenclature	xiv
Abstract	xvi
Chapter 1 Introduction	18
1.1. Boundary layer with adverse pressure gradient	18
1.2. Control of boundary layer separation	20
1.3. Actuators for active flow control	22
1.4. Synthetic jet actuators	24
1.4.1. A review of synthetic jet actuators	24
1.4.2. Typical configuration of synthetic jet actuators	27
1.4.3. Applications of synthetic jet actuators	29

1.4.4. Synthetic jet actuators for present study	30
1.5. Objectives	33
1.6. Structure of the thesis	34
Chapter 2 Experimental Arrangement	35
2.1. Wind tunnel	35
2.2. Hot-wire anemometer	40
2.3. Hot-wire calibration	42
2.4. Synthetic jet actuators	43
2.5. Experimental methods	46
2.5.1. Synthetic jet actuators without cross flow	46
2.5.2. Synthetic jet actuators with cross flow	47
2.6. Summary	49
Chapter 3 Synthetic Jet Actuators without Cross-Flow	50
3.1. Introduction	50
3.2. Effect of input parameters on synthetic jet flows	50
3.3. Synthetic jet centre-line velocity distributions	53
3.4. Summary	61
Chapter 4 Single Synthetic Jet Actuator with Cross-Flow	63
4.1. Introduction	63
4.2. Identification of the separation point	64
4.3. Experimental setup	69
4.4. Results and Discussion	70
4.4.1. Effect of synthetic jets	70
4.4.2. Resistance to flow separation	71

4.4.3. Influence of forcing voltage	90
4.4.4. Influence of forcing frequency	91
4.4.5. Boundary layer properties	93
4.5. Summary	106
Chapter 5 Effect of Multiple Synthetic Jet Actuators	108
5.1. Introduction	108
5.2. Experimental setup	110
5.3. Results and discussion	113
5.3.1. Effect of actuator location	113
5.3.2. Effect of actuators operation in combination	123
5.3.3. Boundary layer properties	126
5.4. Summary	134
Chapter 6 Conclusion and Future Work	136
6.1. Conclusion	136
6.2. Future work	137
6.2.1. Flow visualization	138
6.2.2. Jet centre-line velocity measurement	138
6.2.3. Dustproof synthetic jet actuator	138
Reference:	140

List of Illustrations

Chapter 1 Introduction

Figure 1.1: Sketch of the development of boundary layer separation due to adverse pressure gradient. S = point of separation, δ = boundary layer thickness.

Figure 1.2: Schematic diagrams for Lebedeva's synthetic jet actuator

Figure 1.3: Schematic diagrams for Glezer's synthetic jet actuator

Figure 1.4: Schematic diagrams of synthetic jet actuator

Chapter 2 Experimental Arrangement

Figure 2.1: Diagrammatic sketch of the wind tunnel.

Figure 2.2: Wind tunnel free stream turbulence level.

Figure 2.3: Schematic of experimental apparatus.

Figure 2.4: (a) cutaway view of the test section; (b) pressure distribution, (c) fairing height distribution, (d) boundary layer thickness vs distance, (e) external velocity vs distance. (b) – (e) are in conditions free-stream velocity = 8 ms^{-1} for jet off.

Figure 2.5: Dantec Measurement Technology computer-controlled hot-wire anemometer system and a nozzle calibration facility.

Figure 2.6: Synthetic jet actuator and its location, (a) location of actuator; (b) side view.

Figure 2.7: Positions of three synthetic jet actuators, (a) arrangement of three synthetic jet actuators; (b) side view; (c) detailed view of the three actuators.

Chapter 3 Synthetic Jet Actuator without Cross-flow

Figure 3.1: Jet centreline velocity varying with the forcing frequency.

Figure 3.2: Centre-line mean and RMS velocities with variable y/d_0 for comparison with different forcing frequencies.

Figure 3.3: Centreline mean and RMS velocities with variable y/d_0 at 100 Hz, 150 Hz, 200 Hz and 1.5 kHz.

Figure 3.4: Previous experiments data compare to present experiments data, forcing voltage = $\pm 10\text{V}$.

Figure 3.5: Raw data of centreline mean velocity for forcing frequencies 100, 150, 200 and 1500 Hz, $y/d_0 = 0.4, 1, 2$ and 6 , $t^* = t / T$.

Chapter 4 Single Synthetic Jet Actuator with Cross-flow

Figure 4.1: Mean velocity profiles with actuator off, free stream velocity = 8 ms^{-1}

Figure 4.2: Displacement thickness, momentum thickness, energy thickness, shape factor and H_{23} distributions in x direction without jet, free stream velocity = 8 ms^{-1} .

Figure 4.3: Mean velocity profiles (left) and turbulence profiles (right) with the synthetic jets on and off, from $x = 347 \text{ mm}$ to 467 mm in sequence, free stream velocity = 8 ms^{-1} .

Figure 4.4: Mean velocity profiles with synthetic jets on and off, forcing frequency = 100 Hz , free stream velocity = 8 ms^{-1} , measured at $x = 427 \text{ mm}$

Figure 4.5: Stream-wise distribution of momentum thickness, θ , with actuator on and off. (a), (b) 100 Hz , 150 Hz , and 200 Hz , (c), (d) 300 Hz , 1300 Hz . Free stream velocity = 8 ms^{-1} .

Figure 4.6: Stream-wise distribution of displacement thickness, δ^* , with actuator on and off. (a), (b) 100 Hz , 150 Hz , and 200 Hz , (c), (d) 300 Hz , 1300 Hz . Free stream velocity = 8 ms^{-1} .

Figure 4.7 Stream-wise distribution of energy thickness, δ_3 , with actuator on and off. (a), (b) 100 Hz , 150 Hz , and 200 Hz , (c), (d) 300 Hz , 1300 Hz . Free stream velocity = 8 ms^{-1} .

Figure 4.8 Stream-wise distribution of shape factor, H , with actuator on and off. (a), (b) 100 Hz , 150 , and 200 Hz , (c), (d) 300 Hz , 1300 Hz . Free stream velocity = 8 ms^{-1} .

Figure 4.9 Stream-wise distribution of H_{23} with actuator on and off. (a), (b) 100 Hz , 150 Hz , and 200 Hz , (c), (d) 300 Hz , 1300 Hz . Free stream velocity = 8 ms^{-1} .

Chapter 5 Effect of Multiple Synthetic Jet Actuators

Figure 5.1: Positions of three synthetic jet actuators and seven measurement stations

Figure 5.2: Mean and RMS velocity profiles in the separation regions with the actuator-1, actuator-2 and actuator-3 on and off individually, forcing frequency = 100 Hz and forcing amplitude = $\pm 7.5V$.

Figure 5.3: Mean velocity profiles measured at $x = 427mm$, forcing frequency = 100 Hz, 150 Hz, 200 Hz, and 300 Hz, and forcing voltage = $\pm 7.5V$, (a) for actuator-1, (b) for actuator-2 and (c) for actuator-3.

Figure 5.4: RMS velocity profiles measured at $x = 367mm$ (a) and 467 mm (b) with actuator-1, actuator-2, and actuator-3 on and off.

Figure 5.5: Mean and RMS velocity profiles measured at $x = 427$ mm for multiple actuator deployment, forcing frequency = 100 Hz and forcing voltages = $\pm 7.5V$.

Figure 5.6: Mean (a) and RMS (b) velocity profiles in the separation regions with actuator-1 and actuator-1-2-3.

Figure 5.7: Stream-wise distribution of boundary layer displacement thickness, δ^* , with individually operated actuators (a) and multiple actuators (b) on and off. Forcing frequency = 100 Hz, , and forcing voltage = $\pm 7.5V$.

Figure 5.8: Stream-wise distribution of boundary layer momentum thickness, θ , with individually operated actuators (a) and multiple actuators (b) on and off. Forcing frequency = 100 Hz, and forcing voltage = $\pm 7.5V$.

Figure 5.9: Stream-wise distribution of boundary layer energy thickness, δ_3 , with individually operated actuators (a) and multiple actuators (b) on and off. Forcing frequency = 100 Hz, and forcing voltage = $\pm 7.5\text{V}$.

Figure 5.10: Stream-wise distribution of shape factor, H , with individually operated actuators (a) and multiple actuators (b) on and off. Forcing frequency = 100 Hz, and forcing voltage = $\pm 7.5\text{V}$.

Figure 5.11: Stream-wise distribution of H_{23} with individually operated actuators (a) and multiple actuators (b) on and off. Forcing frequency = 100 Hz, and forcing voltage = $\pm 7.5\text{V}$.

List of Tables

Chapter 1 Introduction

Table 1.1: Types of actuators for active flow control.

Table 1.2: Parts of piezoelectric synthetic jet actuators and its applications.

Chapter 2 Experimental Arrangement

Table 2.1: The experiments of combined actuators with cross flow just for measurement station $x = 367\sim 467\text{mm}$.

Chapter 5 Effect of Multiple Synthetic Jet Actuators

Table 5.1: Mean velocities of actuator-1, actuator-2, and actuator-3 with forcing voltage ± 7.5 measured at the centre of jet orifice with no cross-flow, (a) at $y/d_0 = 1$ and (b) $y/d_0 = 2$.

Table 5.2: Control effects with varying forcing frequencies for different actuator locations.

Nomenclature

C_p	static pressure coefficient
d	membrane diameter (mm)
d_c	cavity diameter (mm)
d_o	orifice diameter (mm)
E	output voltage (V)
f	oscillation frequency (Hz)
H	shape factor, defined as $H = \delta^* / \theta$
h_c	cavity height (mm)
h_o	orifice height (mm)
p	dynamic pressure ($\text{N}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$)
Re	Reynolds number
t	time (sec)
U_∞	free-stream velocity (ms^{-1})
u	mean component of velocity (ms^{-1})
u'	root-mean-square component of velocity (ms^{-1})

u_c	mean component of jet velocity on the centreline (ms^{-1})
u'_c	root-mean-square component of jet velocity on the centreline (ms^{-1})
x	distance in streamline direction (mm)
y	normal distance from plate surface (mm)
δ	distance from the surface at which the local velocity is $0.99 U_\infty$ (mm)
δ^*	displacement thickness (mm)
θ	momentum thickness (mm)
μ	coefficient of viscosity = $1.78 \times 10^{-5} \text{ kgm}^{-1} \cdot \text{sec}^{-1}$
ρ	fluid density ($\text{kg} \cdot \text{m}^{-3}$)
Ω	resistance, ohm

Abstract

This research project aims to investigate the interaction between the synthetic jets and the separating flow in a boundary layer under adverse pressure gradient conditions. The thesis provides an understanding of how the jets work to modify the boundary layer flow structure and information for designing synthetic jet actuators.

To develop an understanding of the actuator operation, three actuators were first tested with variable frequencies and voltages under conditions of no cross-flow. At a fixed y/d_0 location, the relationships between the jet velocity and the forcing frequency and between the jet velocity and the forcing voltage were examined. It was found that the jet velocity increased with the increase of forcing voltage, whilst several peaks were observed in the jet velocity distributions with frequency. Furthermore, it was demonstrated that the peak velocity could occur at different locations relative to the actuator orifice, depending on the forcing frequency.

Experimental investigation to the effectiveness of the synthetic jet actuators were performed in a wind tunnel and measurements were taken in a boundary layer

under adverse pressure. Experimental results demonstrated that the boundary layer flow separation was effectively resisted by the synthetic jet actuation. The results also showed that the control effectiveness of synthetic jets might be more strongly affected by the forcing frequency than the forcing voltage, depending on the particular actuator characteristics. The results also indicated that synthetic jets might play dual roles; in resisting separation by increasing momentum near the wall and amplifying the natural frequencies in the flow.

The effectiveness of multiple jets in boundary layer separation control was investigated experimentally. When the actuators were operated individually, it was found that the performance of the actuator in the absence of cross-flow played a major role in determining the control effectiveness. For the same forcing frequency and forcing voltage, the effect of flow control was determined by locations of actuator. For multiple actuators, the control effect was increased relative to the interaction of individually operated actuator. Actuators located at different positions had their different 'most effective' forcing frequency. The results seem to indicate that the expected effect of flow control can be accomplished by varying forcing frequencies and voltages, but it may also be achieved by using different combined actuators. Generally, in combined actuators cases, the separation was controlled, with more effectiveness than individually operated actuators. In all cases, the boundary layer seems to approach the same downstream state, which indicates that both single and multiple actuators may have limited control effectiveness under the experimental conditions for present study for the cases considered.